Byakud Village

S. No.	*S. No.*	*S. No.*	*S. No.*
191	295/A	206	207
208	209	210	211
212	213	214	215/B
222/B	227/B	229	230
231	232	233	234
235	236	237	238
239	240	241	242
243	244	245/A	245/B
246/A	247/A	247/B	248/A
248/B	249/A	249/B	250/A
250/B	251	252	253
254/B	255/B	256	257/B

Nagaral Village

58	60	61	62
63	64	65	66
67	68	59/B	69
70	71	72	73
74	75	94	95
96	97	98	99
100	101	102	103
104	105		

Khatakbhv Village

147/6	148		

Bhendwad Village

40	41	42	44

Hubbarwadi Village

41	42/B	45	46
56	58	61	65
67	70	75	

CONSTRUCTION OF THE PRIMARY SCHOOL BUILDINGS IN MURUDAGALLI AND MARBALLI OF MYSORE TALUK.

*Q.—1056. Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Mysore).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the year in which the construction of primary school buildings in Murudagalli and Marballi of Mysore Taluk was taken up by the Public Works Department;

(Sri K. PUTTASWAMY)

(*b*) the stage at which they stand at present;

(*c*) the reasons for the delay for completion of the buildings,

(*d*) whether they will take steps to complete the construction of the buldings early ?

A.—Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(*a*) and (*b*)

Name of the work	*Date of starting*	*Stage of the work*
1. Primary School building at Murudagalli.	April, 1947	The structure has come up to basement level.
2. Primary School building at Marballi.	May, 1947	The work is almost completed except for fixing shutters for the doors and windows.

(*c*) The delay in the case of school buildings at Murudagalli is due to absconding of the contractor in the middle. The delay in the case of the school building at Marballi is due to the contractor's death in the middle.

(*d*) Steps have already been taken to forward the estimates for balance of works, to the Director of Public Instruction for countersignature. On receipt of estimates duly countersigned, further action will be taken in the matter.

THE MYSORE ANCIENT AND HISTORICAL MONUMENTS AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES AND REMAINS BILL, 1960 AS PASSED BY LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

Motion to consider—Debate—(*Contd.*)

Smt. GRACE TUCKER (Deputy Minister for Education).—Sir, the Leader of the Opposition during the course of his speech had given very valuable suggestions and had highlighted some of the important provisions of this Bill. He asked about the areas not covered by the State Act, namely the Madras, Bombay and Coorg areas where the 1904 Act was in force. That was before independence. After independence the Central Government notified these various areas that such an Act could not obtain in those areas once they had become States of the Indian Union hence they could have their own piece of legislation and said that the several monuments and archaeological sites of importance which may not be of national importance --Those of national importance being brought under the Central Act should be preserved by them. In January 1957 the Centre wrote to the State that in these areas several monuments and archaeological sites which did not come under